

# ALERT GEOMATERIALS

# Microstructural interpretation of instabilities in granular media

F. Nicot; H. Zhu; H. N'Guyen; G. Veylon (IRSTEA, Grenoble, France) F. Darve (INPG-L3SR, Grenoble, France)

- What is the microstructural origin(s) of mechanical strength / instability in granular materials?
- Could we identify elementary bodies (on a relevant scale) which control the macroscopic behavior?
- This relevant scale should be intermediate between the micro scale (contact scale) and the macro scale (specimen scale)
- The following investigation is carried out in 2D

### **Force chains – Grain cycles**





# **Objectives**

. . . . . .

1. DEM (YADE code) simulation of a drained biaxial test, using dense to loose specimens

2. Trying to track the different sides of cycles (Loop-n) and force chains evolution along the loading path

3. Finding the link between the change in Loop-n and other mechanical properties

Cycles ⇒ force-chain

Cycles >> localization

Cycles 🚧 volumetric behavior

## **Definition**

Cycle (or Loop) = A group of particles being closed to form a polygon by contacts with each other



# Model and parameters

Parameter	Value
Model size	0.90 m x 1.35 m
kn	5.0e9 N/m
kt/kn	1.0
Number of particles	25 000
Particle density	2 600.0 kg/m <sup>3</sup>
Particle radius	2.70e-3 m - 4.49e-3 m
Inter particle friction	30.0°
Particle-wall friction	0.0°
Damping coefficient	0.1





**Initial porosity = 0.148** (densest specimen) confining pressure = 100kPa

**Initial porosity = 0.161** confining pressure = 100kPa





**Initial porosity = 0.171** confining pressure = 100kPa



# **Intermediate conclusion**

- 1. In not loose specimens, number of Loop-3, Loop-4, Loop-5 develop oppositely to Loop-6.
- 2. In the dense specimen, as the micro-structure indicates the dilatancy from the beginning, the initial contractancy derives from the penetration between particles, controlled by elastic parameters.
- 3. In loose specimen, Loop-3 and Loop-4 are constant from start to end. The volumetric behavior is only controlled by large Loops.

#### **Force-chain concept**



#### **Definition:**

- A cluster of particles (at least three particles)
- The geometrical line joining the centers is quasi a line,
- Particles main force directions should define a quasi linear line
- The maximal normal force is larger than the average normal force in the specimen

(Tordesillas et al., JMPS, 2013)

# Determining of the major force direction

Particle average stress:

$$\sigma_{ij}^{(p)} = \sum_{c=1}^{N_c} F_i \, l_j$$

Major force direction is the major principal of particle average stress

#### **Force-chain buckling**



If  $\theta_d > \theta_b$ , the group of three particles is buckling



#### Initial porosity = 0.148(densest specimen) confining pressure = 100kPa

Initial porosity = 0.161 confining pressure = 100kPa



Initial porosity = 0.171 confining pressure = 100kPa



# **Intermediate conclusion**

- 1. Force-chains start buckling before the stress peak.
- 2. In dense specimens, the buckling start-up point coincides with the volume turning point from contractancy to dilatancy
- 3. The stress peak seems to coincide with the maximum of force-chain buckling

# **Cycles / force-chains correlation in dense specimen**

## **Confining Cycles**

This research only involves Loops having at least one particle belonging to a force-chain They are called Confining Cycles onward







# **Intermediate conclusion**

- 1. The Loop-3 is consistently dominating the Cycles near force-chain, as far as reaching the critical state.
- 2. The proportion of the Loop-3 near force-chain is prominently related to the force-chain buckling pattern, when Loop-6 inverse the way.
- 3. The number of Loop-3 has significantly negative effect on the movement of the force-chain, when Loop-6 being significantly positive.

# **Cycles localization in dense specimen**



Loop-3 centroids distribution



Displacement localize in a line of area  $(\Delta \epsilon_{dev} \text{ and } | \Delta u | \text{ image from Hadda's thesis})$ Sibille et al., JMPS, 2014)

#### Loop-3 Initial porosity = 0.148



#### **Loop-6** Initial porosity = 0.148



# **Quantitative appreciation of localization in dense specimen**

A geographic method often used in GIS and ecology to quantitatively describe the self-aggregation of a certain attribution within objective area.

Moran's quotient: 
$$I = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} Wji(d)} \times \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} Wij(d)(xi - \overline{x})(xj - \overline{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (xi - \overline{x})^2}$$



Moran's I>0 (aggregative distribution)



Moran's I<0 (lattice distribution)



A tentative microstructural interpretation of mechanical strength in granular materials

The case of the drained biaxial loading path on a dense material

1- The dense structure of the assembly (Loop-3) directs important lateral forces. To maintain a constant lateral pressure, the specimen needs to dilate

2- This dilatancy results in the increase in Loop-6, and decrease in Loop-3

3- The increase in the axial stress requires developing force chains. The stability of these force chains is ensured by lateral confining made up of loops:

Loop-3 better than Loop-6



4- The stability of force chains is no longer guaranteed, and buckling dramatically increases (possibly with localization)

5-As a result, the deviatoric stress reaches a peak

6- The so-called "critical state" could correspond to the emergence of a stable/steady microstructure able to resist against an axial compression with no volume change together with constant lateral/axial stresses

7- The concept of "**critical state**" (we should say "steady state") could be the following:

A critical state is reached along a given loading path, if the microstructure converges toward a steady pattern

Then, the volume does no longer evolve, and the lateral/axial stresses remain constant

