Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya · BarcelonaTech



Department of Geotechnical Engineering and Geosciences (ETCG)







eco-Friendly And Sustainable slab TRACK for high-speed lines



OUTLINE



INTRODUCTION

Overview of the problem and objectives

AASHTO INDICATIONS

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials * Long term, environmental induced traffic irreversible deformations

2D HYDRO-THERMAL ANALYSES

*Geometrical positioning of impermeable membranes *Embankment dimensions *Water table depth *Climate

LONG TERM EMBANKMENT DEFORMATION

Fatigue based environmental induced irreversible deformations

CONCLUSIONS

High speed train tracks



Ballastless vs Ballasted track



continuous slab of concrete (like a highway structure) with the rails supported directly on its upper surface (using a resilient pad)



Advantages of Ballastless tracks (Michas, 2012):

- Less maintenance and longer life time
- High stability and efficient load redistribution
- Higher precision during construction
- Good design for high speed trains > 300 km/h
- Reduction of vegetation maintenance costs

Embankment deformation

UIC (2008). Earthworks and Track bed for railway lines. UIC 719R. Febrero 2008.



➤ Lifetime embankment deformation



TOTAL settlement = $S_u + S_E + S_v$



INTRODUCTION AASHTO INDICATIONS 2D HYDRO-THEMRAL ANALYSES LONG TERM DEFORMATION CONCLUSIONS LOCALUSIONS LOCALUSIONS CONCLUSIONS LOCALUS ANALYSES AND TRACK BED FOR TAILWAY LINES. UIC 719R. Febrero 2008.



OBJECTIVE: LONG TERM EMBANKMENT SETTLEMET



- 1. Embankment settlement due to self weight + environmental loads
- 2. Embankment settlement due to traffic loads + environmental loads



\ge HOW??

Uncoupled TH - M analyses based on the AASHTO indications

AASHTO: Fatigue based calculation -1









AASHTO: Fatigue based calculation -3



$$\varepsilon_{v} (N) = \varepsilon_{v}^{0} \left[\beta_{1} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\varepsilon_{r}} \right) e^{-\left(\frac{\rho}{N} \right)^{\beta}} \right]$$

$$\log \beta = -0.61119 - 0.017638 W_{c}$$

$$\log \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\varepsilon_{r}} \right) = 0.5 \left[e^{(\rho)^{\beta}} a_{1} + e^{(\rho/10^{9})^{\beta}} a_{9} \right]$$

$$\rho = 10^{9} \left(\frac{C_{0}}{(1 - (10^{9})^{\beta})} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \quad C_{0} = \ln \left(\frac{a_{1}}{a_{9}} \right)$$

SEPARATE MECHANICAL ANALYSIS
EMPIRICAL

$$W_{c} = 51.712 \left[\left(\frac{E_{r}}{2555} \right)^{\frac{1}{0.64}} \right]^{-0.3586^{4} GWT^{0.112}} \right]$$

$$\frac{\log \frac{M_{R}}{M_{R}}}{M_{R}} = a + \frac{b - a}{(1 - b)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} \left(2012 \right)$$

NUMERICAL
NUMERICAL
NUMERICAL ANALYSES
CODE_BRIGHT
environmental boundary conditions

T-H MODEL: Geometrical specifications





11

M MODEL: Calcultaing \mathcal{E}_{v}





T-H MODEL: CODE BRIGHT



ATMOSPHERE:



At soil surface:

Heat exchange: Solar radiation (+) Radiation re-emitted by the atmosphere (+) Radiation re-emitted by the soil (-) Heat convected by evapotranspiration (in particular latent heat) (-) Heat convected by liquid water (+/-) Heat convected by air

Water exchange: Precipitation (+) Runoff (-) Evapotranspiration (-)

Air exchange:

Flow due to change in atmospheric pressure Strongly enhanced by aerodynamics effects

T-H MODEL: CODE BRIGHT







Mass balance of heat (including conductive, diffusive and advective fluxes)

Mass balance of water (including liquid water and vapour)

Mass balance of air (including dry air and dissolved air)

Stress equilibrium

M. O. Ciantia et. al - ALERT Workshop 2014

T-H MODEL: Materials





INTRODUCTION

CONCLUSIONS

AASHTO INDICATIONS

2D HYDRO-THERMAL ANALYSES LONG TERM DEFORMATION





			Track bed layer	Subgrade	Nucleus C	Nucleus B	Nucleus A
Strength and stiffness	E '	MPa	50	50	13	13	13
	V	-	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33
	ϕ'	0	33	33	30	30	30
	<i>c</i> ′	kPa	10	10	10	10	10
Permeability	Κ	m/s	2,5 E-04	2,1 E-04	2,0 E-8	2,0 E-9	2,0 E-10
Plasticity Indices	LL	%	NP	NP	37,6	37,6	37,6
	LP	%	NP	NP	23,0	23,0	23,0
	IP	%	NP	NP	14,6	14,6	14,6
Modified Proctor	Gs	-	2,65	2,65	2,65	2,65	2,65
	γ_{dry} (PM)	g/cm^3	2,0	2,0	1,78	1,78	1,78
	w _{opt} (PM)	%	18,2	18,2	29,9	29,9	29,9
	CBR (PM)	-	25	25	5	5	5
	Sr (PM)	%	80,6	80,6	90,6	90,6	90,6
	suction (PM)	kPa	20	50	150	150	150



M. O. Ciantia et. al - ALERT Workshop 2014

T-H MODEL: Boundary Conditions #1 WEATHER







INTRODUCTION

AASHTO INDICATIONS

2D HYDRO-THERMAL ANALYSES

LONG TERM DEFORMATION

T-H MODEL: Boundary Conditions #2 IMPERMEABLE GEOMEMBRANES

















Type of NUCLEOUS #2

INTRODUCTION AASHTO INDICATIONS 2D HYDRO-THERMAL ANALYSES LONG TERM DEFORMATION CONCLUSIONS







PHREATIC LEVEL





EMBANKMENT DIMENSIONS





T-H MODEL: Typical Results







T-H + M MODEL: Long term geomembrane positioning #1





T-H + M MODEL: Long term geomembrane positioning #2





INTRODUCTION

CONCLUSIONS

AASHTO INDICATIONS

2D HYDRO-THERMAL ANALYSES

LONG TERM DEFORMATION

T-H + M MODEL: Long term geomembrane positioning #3





T-H + M MODEL: Long term geomembrane positioning #4









T-H + M MODEL: Long term





INTRODUCTION

CONCLUSIONS

AASHTO INDICATIONS

2D HYDRO-THERMAL ANALYSES

LONG TERM DEFORMATION

10 m

🔻 2 m

NU B

UMA12

CONCLUSIONS



NEW WAY OF PREDICTING THE ATMOSPHERIC INDUCED LONG TERM DEFORMATION OF EMBANKMENTS:

AASHTO INDICATIONS (FATIGUE CALCULATION) + TH FEM ANALYSES

PARAMETRIC STUDY:

- 1. GEOMETRICAL DISPOSITION OF IMPERMEABLE MEMBRANES
- 2. PHREATIC LEVEL
- 3. SIZE OF THE EMBANKMENT
- 4. CLIMATE

FURTHER RESEARCH

- 1. MEMBRANES AT THE BASE
- 2. FULLY COUPLED THM FEM ANALSES

M. O. Ciantia et. al - ALERT Workshop 2014

Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya · BarcelonaTech



Department of Geotechnical Engineering and Geosciences (ETCG)

Thanks for the attention QUESTIONS?